



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3202
Title of the Manuscript:	Fish assemblage with respect to physiochemical characteristics along Barak River, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is relevant to academic, research community, and conservation policy makers.</p> <p>The title need to capture the geomorphogy of the river which was also studies, so I suggest.... Fish assemblage in relation to physiochemical and geomorphological characteristics of Barak River, India</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The author fail to give subheadings and this accounts for repetitions. The materials and method could be subsection to...Study area, physicochemical parameters measurements, geomorphological studies, data analysis. Such headings could also be used in the result section</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Authors would like to thank the reviewer for finding the article suitable for the journal.</p> <p>Necessary changes have been made.</p> <p>Necessary changes have been made.</p>



<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	ACCEPT WITH MINOR CORRECTION	
<u>Optional</u> comments	<p>See my comment of the manuscript</p> <p>Citation is needed here</p> <p>Citation please</p> <p>Provide citations to support this</p> <p>This look like you continued materials and method. Present your result here. You could begin this way The physicochemical parameters measured showed a mean value of temperature ..., DO.. (Figure 2)</p> <p>Already presented in materials and method</p> <p>Check for spelling (River meandering was severe....</p> <p>Incomplete statement</p> <p>Was given in Table 2</p> <p>Weigth and length range, any statistical evidence</p>	<p>Necessary reference has been added</p> <p>Necessary reference has been added</p> <p>Necessary reference has been added</p> <p>Necessary corrections have been carried out</p> <p>Necessary corrections have been carried out</p> <p>Necessary corrections have been carried out</p> <p>Necessary corrections have been carried out</p> <p>Necessary corrections have been carried out</p> <p>No such study has been carried out and statement is added based on visual confirmation.</p>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Not applicable